United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,611	1 03/15/2004		Kristoffer Hess	K8000143US3B	8421
34236	7590	09/12/2006	EXAMINER		
VALENTIN			SILBERMANN, JOANNE		
SUITE 1020 50 QUEEN STREET NORTH KITCHENER, ON N2H6M2				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA	, 01.			3611	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/799,611	HESS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joanne Silbermann	3611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	<u>ıly 2006</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12 and 13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	e r .						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmant(a)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/799,611 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 1-10 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 22 November 2005.
- 2. This application contains claims 1-10 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the paper filed 22 November 2005. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moss et al. US #3,395,476 in view of Roseby, US #1,839,165 and Martin et al. US #6,393,207.
- 3. Moss shows (Figures 1-4) a flame simulating assembly comprising simulated fuel bed 3, light source 4, screen 9 positioned behind the simulated fuel bed for transmitting and diffusing light, the screen including a plurality of curved portions 13, each of the

Application/Control Number: 10/799,611

Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

curved portions adapted to attenuate the image of flames upon transmission through the screen to give at least a portion of the flames a three-dimensional appearance, and flicker element 5 for causing light from the light source to fluctuate to form the image of flames. The flicker element is located between the light source and screen. The arrangement of the curved portions is considered to be random since Moss shows that they are different sizes and shapes. Therefore, as broadly defined, curved portions 13 are considered to be "random".

- 4. Regarding claim 13, the curved portions are considered to be spaced apart from each other by randomly selected distances.
- 5. Moss et al. do not teach the screen as being curved vertically and horizontally, however this is well known in the art as shown by Roseby. Roseby teaches an imitation fire device wherein screen A is vertically and horizontally curved (see the Figure) and includes randomly spaced portions for providing the appearance of fire. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize such a curved screen so as to provide a more realistic imitation flame, as taught by Roseby.
- 6. Moss does not teach a flame effect element between the flicker element and the screen. Martin shows the idea of placing flame effect element 86 between flicker element 84 and screen 114 (Figures 3). In view of the teachings of Martin, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify Moss (as modified by Roseby) by placing a flame effect element between the flicker element and the screen since this would create a more realistic and aesthetically pleasing flame effect.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

A new reference has been applied in response to Applicant's amendment, specifically claim 12 now reciting the screen as being curved in vertical and horizontal directions.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 571-272-6653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

Art Unit: 3611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Johnne Silbermann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

js 08 September 2006